1 BOFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 3 IN THE MATTER OF A CEASE AND DESIST ORDER ISSUED BY THE 4 DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY TO JOHN A. AND ESTHER NELSON 5 PCRS No. 79-32 JOHN A. NELSON, ϵ ORDER DISHISSING APPLAL Appellant, FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION v. 8 STATE OF WASLINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF Ecology. 10 Respondent, LLOYD F. FULLER AND MARGARET C. FULLER, 12 Intervenors. 13 This matter, the appeal of a Department of Ecology shoreline 15 tregulatory order issued under NAC 173-14-180, came on for hearing 16 | before the Pollution Control Learings Board Dave J. Mconsy, Chairman,

Chris Stite and David Akona convened at Seattle, Jashington c. April 27

15 | 1979. The hearing was continued, resuming on Ma, 3 and concliding

17 /LB

in May 4, 1979. Tearing examiner William A. Harrison prisided.

Appellant John A. Helson appeared by his attorne, Stephen S.

Brasett. Respondent, Department of Ecology, appeared of

Robert V. Jensen, Assistant Attorney General. Intermentis,

Lloyd F. and Largaret C. Fuller, appeared by their attorney, Stephen

J. Crane. Reporters Jamie R. Dean and Mark Horila recorded the

proceedings.

2

3

5

6

S

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

 20°

21

22

23

Maving raised the issue of its jurisdiction over appeals from regulatory orders issued under WAC 173-14-180, the Pollution Control Meanings Board concludes that it lacks jurisdiction to hear such appeals.

RCW 43.21B.110 provides that the Pollution Control Hearings Board has jurisdiction:

". . . to hear and decide appeals from the decisions of the department . . . the hearings board shall also have jurisdiction to hear and decide appeals from any person aggrieved by an order issued by the department . . ."

This provision, effective July 1, 1970, predates the Shoreline Management Act, chapter 90.58 RCW, effective June 1, 1971. The Shoreline Management Act provides a full scheme for enforcement and adjudication of that Act. See Nelson v. Department of Ecology and Fuller, ShB No. 79-11, issued contemporaneously with this Order. There is nothing left for the Pollution Control Learnings Board

24

<u>.</u>5 }

.

29 CODER DISHIPSING

27 of Jurisdiction

I i under the sholeline Hanagerent Act. We conclude from this that where Department of Ecology issues an order exclusively under the Shoreline Management Act, such as the regulatory order under WAC 173-14-180 here, there is 5) no jurisdiction in the Pollution Control Hearings Board to review it. The Pollution Control Meanings Board therefore dismisses this matter for lack of jurisdiction. 9 day of June, 1979. DONE at Lacey, Washington this 10 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 11 12 13 î. iõ 16 17 15 10 ; 25 2: 23 1 23 2, 25 20 CROEF DISHISSING APPILL FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION